Doc. 3 Riches v. Defcon, et al Case 2:06-cv-00293-BES-PAL Document 3 Filed 05/07/2007 Page 1 of 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 JONATHAN LEE RICHES, Case No.: 2:06-CV-293-BES-PAL Plaintiff, 10 11 VS. ORDER DEFCON, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 The Court ordered (#2) Plaintiff to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or 15 to pay the filing fee. Plaintiff has not complied within the allotted time. 16 17 "Before dismissing the action, the district court is required to weigh several factors: (1) 18 the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its 19 docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." Ghazali v. Moran, 46 20 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (internal citations and quotations omitted). All five factors point in 21 favor of dismissal. This action simply cannot proceed without Plaintiff first resolving his 22 financial situation, and Plaintiff has not taken the opportunity to do just that. Defendants, who 23 have not appeared, will suffer no prejudice. 24 25 /// ///26 27 ///

28

///

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's Order #2. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

DATED this 3rd day of May, 2007.

BRIAN SANDOVAL United States District Judge